

Article history: Received 02 March 2025 Revised 12 April 2025 Accepted 07 May 2025 Published online 01 July 2025

Psychology of Woman Journal

Open peer-review report



The Effectiveness of Group-Based Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on Self-Worth and Resilience in Obese Women

Fereshteh. Khatibi o, Arsalan. Khanmohammadi Otaghsara o, Shaban. Heydari o

¹ PhD student in General Psychology, Department of Psychology, Sar.C., Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran
² Department of Psychology, Sar.C., Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: ar.khanmohammadi@iau.ac.ir

Editor	Reviewers
Donatella Di Corrado®	Reviewer 1: Kamdin Parsakia [®]
Associate Professor, Department of	Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond
psychology, Kore University of	Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: kamdinparsakia@kmanresce.ca
Enna, Enna, Italy	Reviewer 2: Ali Khodaei
donatella.dicorrado@unikore.it	Department of Psychology, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, Payam
	Noor University, Tehran, Iran. Email: alikhodaei@pnu.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The sentence, "Unlike traditional cognitive therapies...," generalizes CBT's objectives. To avoid misrepresentation, you could specify which CBT frameworks are being compared and provide a citation to support the claim.

The intervention section is comprehensive but reads more like a therapy manual than a methods section. Consider condensing and summarizing with a table or figure for clarity and better readability.

Although means and SDs are reported, there is no mention of whether these differences are statistically significant. Reiterate whether changes between test phases are significant and link back to inferential analyses.

The interpretation that "absence of post-treatment reinforcement strategies may have contributed..." is speculative. You may wish to temper this with language like "may plausibly" or "could potentially."

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:





In mentioning "a growing body of empirical research," consider quantifying or citing a systematic review or meta-analysis to validate the strength of this claim.

You state: "Group formats offer a sense of shared experience and mutual validation..."—this psychosocial mechanism should be supported with at least one reference from social or clinical psychology literature.

Provide evidence of construct validity for the SWQ in Iranian populations beyond internal consistency. This would strengthen the argument for the scale's appropriateness.

Effect sizes are mentioned (e.g., $\eta^2 = .222$), but their interpretation is absent. Please classify these values (e.g., small, medium, large) using Cohen's benchmarks to aid interpretation for readers.

The loss of statistical significance in follow-up data is presented as a decline in impact. Consider discussing whether this reflects regression to baseline or merely statistical non-significance due to power limitations.

In the sentence, "These mechanisms are consistent with previous studies...," the mechanisms (defusion, value alignment) are assumed rather than tested. Suggest clarifying that these are inferred mechanisms.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

Psychology of Woman Journal 6:3 (2025)