

Article history: Received 08 July 2025 Revised 16 October 2025 Accepted 01 November 2025 Initial Published 07 November 2025 Final Publication 01 January 2026

Journal of Psychosociological Research in Family and Culture

Open Peer-Review Report



E-ISSN: 3041-8550

Parents, Children, and (Adoptive?) Bonds: Theoretical Notes for **Understanding Parenthood through Adoption**

Pablo dos Santos Jacinto^{1*}, Maria Virgínia. Machado Dazzani²

¹ Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia ² Universidade Federal da Bahia

* Corresponding author email address: pablo.jacinto@uesb.edu.br

Editor

Reviewers

Salami Mutiu Olagoke Associate Profesor, Head of Psychology Counseling and Guidance Department, Ibn Haldun

University, Turkey

salami@fpm.upsi.edu.my

Reviewer 1: Abolghasem Khoshkanesh®

Assistant Professor, Counseling Department, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran,

Email: akhoshkonesh@sbu.ac.ir Reviewer 2: Masoud Asadi

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology and Counseling, Arak University,

Arak, Iran.

Email: m-asadi@araku.ac.ir

Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

This sentence is informative but disrupts the article's flow by emphasizing the origin of the text rather than its research purpose. Consider rephrasing to foreground the article's independent theoretical contribution rather than its link to a dissertation.

This definition is valuable, but the paragraph that follows lists psychological processes without theoretical linkage. It would be useful to synthesize these frameworks (e.g., attachment, identity formation, and cognitive reorganization) to demonstrate conceptual coherence.

The rhetorical question is provocative but should be followed by a clear analytical answer or theoretical argument, rather than moving immediately into another author's quotation. Consider adding a brief analytical synthesis.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

This argument is strong but could benefit from integration of cross-cultural or global references to show that this complexity extends beyond the Brazilian context, thus enhancing the generalizability of the theoretical framework.

This statement would be enriched by referencing key family systems or symbolic interactionist theories that underpin such redefinitions (e.g., Giddens' concept of reflexive family or Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory).

This passage could cite or describe specific Brazilian institutional or governmental programs that exemplify these services (e.g., CREAS or judicial adoption preparation programs) to contextualize the argument empirically.

Response: Revised and uploaded the new document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.