

Article history: Received 08 April 2025 Revised 12 July 2025 Accepted 19 July 2025 Published online 10 August 2025

Journal of Adolescent and Youth Psychological Studies

Open peer-review report



E-ISSN: 2981-2526

Forgiveness as a Mediator between Peer Conflict and Emotional Wellbeing in Adolescents

Sharmin. Nasrin¹, Agus. Santoso^{2*}, Daiki. Tanaka³

Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka-1000, Bangladesh Department of Educational Sciences, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.
Department of Educational Psychology, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

* Corresponding author email address: agus.santoso@ugm.ac.id

Editor	Reviewers
Anela Hasanagic®	Reviewer 1: Zahra Yousefi 🗈
Full Professor, Department of	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Khorasgan Branch, Islamic Azad
Psychology, Faculty of Islamic	University, Isfahan, Iran.
Education, University of Zenica,	Email: yousefi1393@khuisf.ac.ir
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Reviewer 2: Sara Nejatifar
anela.hasanagic@unze.ba	Department of Psychology and Education of People with Special Needs, Faculty of
	Educational Sciences and Psychology, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran.
	Email: s.nejatifar@edu.ui.ac.ir

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The reporting is statistically accurate, but you should include confidence intervals for the indirect effect to provide a fuller picture of mediation reliability.

While this extension is interesting, the link to adolescent wellbeing could be clearer. Please explain why findings from older adults or prisoners are theoretically relevant to adolescent peer conflict.

The sentence "Russell (2023) pointed out that conflicts are not only relational disturbances but also philosophical and ethical challenges ..." introduces a philosophical perspective. It would benefit from connecting more directly to empirical adolescent studies.

The extrapolation from marital satisfaction (Jain & Arya, 2024) to adolescent peer relationships needs more justification. These contexts differ significantly; specify why such generalization is appropriate.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.



1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

This paragraph provides a strong conceptual background. However, you could better integrate contrasting definitions from philosophical and clinical perspectives to show multidimensionality more explicitly.

The argument is persuasive, but the paragraph cites only two recent studies. Expanding with additional adolescent-specific forgiveness literature (e.g., cross-cultural comparisons) would strengthen contextualization.

This is a strong rationale. However, it would be helpful to specify more clearly how your study is methodologically novel (e.g., SEM mediation approach in an Indonesian adolescent sample).

The reported mean for forgiveness (83.41 out of 126) is relatively high. A discussion of possible ceiling effects or cultural response tendencies (e.g., collectivist social desirability) should be included.

The opening of the discussion largely repeats the results. Consider synthesizing findings with theory more directly rather than summarizing.

Authors uploaded the revised manuscript.

2. Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted. Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.

