Explaining the Structural Model of the Relationship Between Parental Stress Index and Democratic Parenting Style with the Mediating Role of Wisdom and Sense of Coherence

Freshteh. Moeini[1], Reza. Hosseinpour[2]*, Alireza. Jafari[3]

[1] PhD Student, Department of Psychology, North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Sciences, Faculty of Social and Cultural Sciences, Imam Hossein University, Tehran, Iran
[3] Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Islamic Azad University, Kish International Branch, Kish, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: hosseinpour_reza@yahoo.com

Editor	Reviewers
Azizuddin Khan	**Reviewer 1:** Azade Abooei
Professor, Psychophysiology Laboratory, Department of Humanities and Social Sciences Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Maharashtra, India aziz@hss.iitb.ac.in	Department of Counseling, Faculty of Humanities, University of Science and Art, Yazd, Iran. Email: a.abooei@tea.sau.ac.ir
	Reviewer 2: Roodabeh Hooshmandi
	Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada. Email: roodhooshmandi@kmanresce.ca

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the sentence, "The family still holds a unique position in psycho-social development," consider elaborating on how contemporary societal changes challenge this unique position (p. 1, Introduction). This will provide a clearer context for your study.

In the Methods section, the term "psychological capital" is used without clear definition or citation (p. 5, Methods). Providing a brief explanation or reference will clarify its relevance.

The explanation of structural equation modeling (SEM) is informative but lacks details about how measurement errors were accounted for in the model (p. 7, Data Analysis). Consider elaborating on this aspect.

In Table 3, it is unclear why certain paths were considered significant while others were not (p. 8, Significance Coefficients). It would be helpful to provide a brief explanation of your criteria for significance.

The discussion does not address potential biases in self-reported measures, which can affect the study's validity (p. 10, Discussion). Consider acknowledging this limitation and its impact on the findings.

Authors revised and uploaded the document.

1.2.   Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The introduction mentions numerous studies on parenting styles but does not adequately address gaps in the literature regarding the role of wisdom and sense of coherence (p. 2, Introduction). Including a brief summary of these gaps could strengthen your rationale.

The description of Baumrind's parenting styles (p. 3, Introduction) could be expanded by including more recent theoretical advancements or critiques of Baumrind's work, to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding.

The Methods section states, "The sampling method was cluster random sampling," but it does not explain why this method was chosen over others (p. 5, Study Design). Consider adding a justification for this choice.

The reported Cronbach's alpha for the "reflective" dimension of wisdom is 0.547, which is considered low (p. 6, Measures). You might want to discuss this limitation and its implications for the study's findings.

In the Measures section, the description of the Parenting Styles Questionnaire should clarify how the cutoff score of 38 was determined and its implications for analysis (p. 6, Parenting Styles).

The text states, "Sense of coherence had a minimal mediating role" (p. 9, Findings). This finding seems important and could be discussed in greater detail, emphasizing the theoretical implications.

The goodness-of-fit indices reported, such as SRMR and GOF, are well-presented, but the interpretation of these indices could be more detailed (p. 9, Model Fit). Explain why these indices were chosen and how they support your model.

Authors revised and uploaded the document.

2.   Revised

Editor's decision after revisions: Accepted.
Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.