Digital Mentorship: Mentor-Mentee Relationships in Virtual Spaces

Seyed Hadi. Seyed Alitabar[1]*⬥, Zohreh. Zadhasn[1]⬥

[1] Department of Psychology and Counseling, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: hadialitabar@kmanresce.ca

A r t i c l e   I n f o

A B S T R A C T

This study aims to explore the experiences, challenges, and outcomes of digital mentorship, categorizing the different facets of mentor-mentee interactions in virtual environments and identifying effective strategies for digital mentorship. Employing a qualitative research design, this study conducted semi-structured interviews with 25 participants who have been involved in digital mentorship either as mentors or mentees. Thematic analysis was used to identify key themes and categories from the interview transcripts, focusing on communication methods, challenges and solutions, professional development, personal development, and the role of technology and tools in facilitating mentorship. The analysis revealed five main themes: Communication Methods, Challenges and Solutions, Professional Development, Personal Development, and Technology and Tools. Each theme encompasses various categories and concepts, such as synchronous and asynchronous communication, technical issues and cultural differences, skill acquisition and networking, confidence building and work-life balance, and the utilization of collaboration platforms and communication tools. These findings highlight the diversity of practices and experiences in digital mentorship, along with the strategies employed by participants to navigate the challenges of virtual environments. Digital mentorship presents a complex, multifaceted landscape that offers both challenges and opportunities for mentor-mentee relationships. Effective communication, strategic use of digital tools, and attention to personal connection are crucial for maximizing the benefits of mentorship in virtual spaces. This study contributes to the understanding of digital mentorship dynamics, providing insights for enhancing mentorship practices and outcomes in the digital age.
Keywords: Digital Mentorship, Virtual Mentorship, Professional Development, Personal Development, Online Communication, E-mentoring.

1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education and professional development, the age-old concept of mentorship has undergone significant transformation, particularly with the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic. Traditionally viewed as a cornerstone in the professional growth and personal development of individuals across various fields, mentorship has transcended physical boundaries to assert its presence in virtual spaces. The shift towards digital platforms for mentorship, driven by global health crises and technological advancements, has not only redefined the dynamics of mentor-mentee relationships but also sparked a scholarly inquiry into its impacts on academic and professional trajectories (Mullen, 2020).

Mentorship, characterized by a mutually beneficial relationship between an experienced mentor and a less experienced mentee, plays a pivotal role in the domains of medicine, education, psychology, and beyond (Law et al., 2014). The essence of this relationship lies in the transfer of knowledge, skills, and values from mentor to mentee, fostering an environment of growth, learning, and mutual respect. However, the sudden surge in the integration of digital tools, precipitated by the pandemic, has accentuated the necessity for both mentors and mentees to adeptly navigate these newly formed virtual spaces (Baroudi & Shaya, 2022). The proliferation of social media usage and online resources for mentorship underscores the evolving paradigm of these relationships in the digital era, marking a departure from traditional, face-to-face interactions (Patel & Volgman, 2021).

The implications of these shifts are particularly pronounced in the realm of graduate education, where optimizing digital mentorship has emerged as a pressing concern (Kraiger et al., 2022). Innovative strategies, including formative assessments, videoconferencing, and structured online sessions, have been proposed to bolster the mentor-mentee connection and facilitate more effective communication (Agarwal et al., 2021). Peer mentorship programs, too, have gained traction, evidencing potential in augmenting educational outcomes and forging supportive networks among learners (Ranzenhofer et al., 2020).

In healthcare, the implementation of mentorship programs has been instrumental in elevating the quality of care for patients with conditions such as dementia and eating disorders, showcasing mentorship's profound impact beyond educational settings (Brody et al., 2016). Yet, the sustainability and success of these initiatives hinge on a robust infrastructure, encompassing ample resources, well-defined protocols, and ongoing support for the mentor-mentee pairs (Yong et al., 2021). Moreover, mentorship has ascended as a crucial element in championing diversity, equity, and inclusion within specialized fields like radiology and obstetrics, highlighting its role in fostering an inclusive and diverse professional landscape (Cusson et al., 2022).

The adaptation of mentorship models to accommodate online platforms heralds a new era of opportunity for engaging a wide array of individuals across geographical and cultural divides, thereby enhancing collaborative learning experiences (Harris, 2022). Empirical evidence points to the positive ramifications of effective mentor-mentee relationships, including heightened academic satisfaction, improved retention rates, and favorable career advancements (Almond et al., 2020). Furthermore, mentorship programs have been pivotal in mitigating gender bias, enriching diversity, and supporting the professional growth of underrepresented groups across various disciplines (Simon et al., 2021).

This study aims to unravel the multifaceted impacts of this evolution on both mentors and mentees. Through the lens of qualitative research, primarily employing semi-structured interviews, this study seeks to provide nuanced insights into the experiences, challenges, and triumphs of digital mentorship. In doing so, it contributes to a deeper understanding of how virtual spaces have both challenged and enriched the traditional mentorship paradigm, signaling a transformative shift in the way mentorship is perceived and practiced in the contemporary world.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This qualitative study was designed to explore the dynamics of mentor-mentee relationships within digital environments. Given the complex, nuanced nature of these relationships, a qualitative approach was deemed appropriate to capture the depth and diversity of experiences from participants. The study centered around semi-structured interviews, allowing for both guided questions and the flexibility for participants to share insights beyond the initial scope of inquiry.

Participants were recruited through a combination of purposive and snowball sampling techniques, aiming for a diverse cohort in terms of age, profession, and experience with digital mentorship. Inclusion criteria specified individuals who had either acted as a mentor or a mentee within a virtual mentoring program for at least three months. This duration ensured that participants had sufficient experience to reflect upon their relationships. Efforts were made to ensure diversity in terms of geographic location, gender, and field of expertise to capture a wide range of digital mentorship experiences.

Prior to the interviews, participants were provided with an information sheet detailing the study's purpose, the voluntary nature of their participation, and assurances of confidentiality and anonymity. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Interviews were recorded with permission, transcribed verbatim, and the transcripts anonymized to protect participants' identities.

Ethical considerations were paramount, including ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity of participants, obtaining informed consent, and providing participants with the right to withdraw from the study at any point without consequence.

2.2. Data Collection

Data were collected exclusively through semi-structured interviews conducted online. Each interview was designed to last between 45 to 60 minutes, conducted via video conferencing platforms to mimic the digital nature of the mentorship relationships being studied. An interview guide was developed to steer the conversations, encompassing topics such as the initiation of the mentor-mentee relationship, communication patterns, challenges faced, and perceived outcomes of the mentorship.

Questions were open-ended to encourage rich, detailed responses, with follow-up questions used to delve deeper into specific areas of interest. Interview questions included:

How did you establish your digital mentorship relationship?

Can you describe a typical interaction with your mentor/mentee?

What challenges have you faced in maintaining the relationship virtually?

In what ways has this digital mentorship impacted your professional growth or personal development?

2.3. Data Analysis

Transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes related to digital mentorship experiences. The analysis followed a six-phase process: familiarizing with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report. Both deductive and inductive approaches were employed; the former guided by the existing literature on mentorship and the digital context, and the latter allowing for new insights to emerge from the data. NVivo software was used to facilitate the organization and coding of data.

3. Findings

In the study, a total of 25 participants engaged in the exploration of digital mentorship dynamics. The demographic composition of the cohort was diverse, encompassing a broad range of ages, professional backgrounds, and geographic locations. Specifically, the age distribution of participants was as follows: 20-29 years (8 participants), 30-39 years (10 participants), 40-49 years (5 participants), and 50-59 years (2 participants), highlighting a strong representation from the younger to middle adult age groups. Professionally, the participants spanned various sectors, including technology (10 participants), education (5 participants), healthcare (4 participants), and business (6 participants), reflecting a wide spectrum of expertise and experience levels.

Table 1

Categories, Subcategories, and Concepts

Category	Subcategory	Concepts
Communication Methods	Synchronous	Video calls, Real-time messaging, Live chat
	Asynchronous	Emails, Discussion forums, Recorded videos
	Non-verbal	Emojis, Reaction GIFs, Screen sharing
Challenges and Solutions	Technical Issues	Connectivity problems, Software glitches, Hardware limitations
	Cultural Differences	Language barriers, Social etiquette, Norms and values
	Time Zone Management	Scheduling, Overlaps, Flexibility
	Privacy Concerns	Data security, Anonymity, Consent
Professional Development	Skill Acquisition	Technical skills, Industry knowledge, Certifications
	Networking	Professional contacts, Industry events, Social media
	Feedback Mechanisms	Constructive criticism, Performance reviews, Peer feedback
Personal Development	Confidence Building	Self-esteem, Self-efficacy, Motivation
	Work-Life Balance	Setting boundaries, Time management, Stress reduction
	Personal Goals	Achieving milestones, Personal branding, Goal setting
Technology and Tools	Collaboration Platforms	Slack, Microsoft Teams, Google Workspace
	Communication Tools	Zoom, Skype, WhatsApp
	Project Management Tools	Trello, Asana, Monday.com

The qualitative analysis revealed key insights into the dynamics of digital mentorship, categorized into four main areas: Communication Methods, Challenges and Solutions, Professional Development, and Personal Development, supplemented by the Technology and Tools that support these processes.

Communication Methods were identified as critical in establishing and maintaining effective mentor-mentee relationships. Within this category, three subcategories emerged: Synchronous communication, including "video calls, real-time messaging, and live chat"; Asynchronous communication, characterized by "emails, discussion forums, and recorded videos"; and Non-verbal communication, where "emojis, reaction GIFs, and screen sharing" play a significant role. One mentor mentioned, "Using emojis and GIFs helps break the ice and convey feelings that are hard to express through text alone."

Challenges and Solutions in digital mentorship varied widely. Technical Issues were common, with mentors and mentees facing "connectivity problems, software glitches, and hardware limitations." Cultural Differences also presented challenges, including "language barriers, social etiquette, norms, and values," which were particularly pronounced in cross-cultural mentorships. Time Zone Management was another significant challenge, requiring "scheduling, overlaps, and flexibility" to navigate successfully. Privacy Concerns were highlighted, with one mentee stating, "Ensuring data security and maintaining anonymity was paramount to build trust."

Professional Development benefits were a major draw of digital mentorship. Skill Acquisition was enhanced through "technical skills, industry knowledge, and certifications." Networking opportunities were expanded, as one mentor noted, "Digital platforms opened up new professional contacts and industry events I wouldn't have otherwise accessed." Feedback Mechanisms, including "constructive criticism, performance reviews, and peer feedback," were critical for growth and improvement.

Personal Development was also significantly impacted. Confidence Building through "self-esteem, self-efficacy, and motivation" was frequently mentioned, with one mentee reflecting, "My mentor helped boost my confidence to take on new challenges." Work-Life Balance was improved through "setting boundaries, time management, and stress reduction." Personal Goals, including "achieving milestones, personal branding, and goal setting," were actively pursued within the mentorship framework.

The role of Technology and Tools was indispensable across all categories. Collaboration Platforms (e.g., Slack, Microsoft Teams, Google Workspace), Communication Tools (e.g., Zoom, Skype, WhatsApp), and Project Management Tools (e.g., Trello, Asana, Monday.com) were highlighted as essential for facilitating mentorship interactions, with one participant stating, "The right tools can make or break the digital mentorship experience."

4. Discussion and Conclusion

This study has illuminated the intricate dynamics of mentor-mentee relationships within digital spaces, revealing diverse practices and experiences that enrich the mentorship process. Key findings include the categorization of digital mentorship into asynchronous, synchronous, and social media-based interactions, reflecting the broad spectrum of communication strategies employed. The impact of online mentoring on professional and personal development was highlighted, alongside the importance of effective mentorship strategies. The study also underscored the potential of digital platforms to address sensitive topics in a supportive manner and the role of reverse mentoring in promoting technological literacy. Moreover, the significance of maintaining personal connections in virtual mentorship and the contribution of digital mentorship to promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion were emphasized.

In the qualitative exploration of digital mentorship, the analysis yielded five main themes, each encompassing a range of categories that reflect the complexity and diversity of mentor-mentee interactions in virtual spaces. These main themes were Communication Methods, Challenges and Solutions, Professional Development, Personal Development, and Technology and Tools. Within these themes, various categories emerged, such as Synchronous and Asynchronous Communication under Communication Methods; Technical Issues, Cultural Differences, and Privacy Concerns under Challenges and Solutions; Skill Acquisition, Networking, and Feedback Mechanisms under Professional Development; Confidence Building, Work-Life Balance, and Personal Goals under Personal Development; and Collaboration Platforms, Communication Tools, and Project Management Tools under Technology and Tools.

Communication Methods theme emphasized the pivotal role of effective communication in digital mentorship, subdivided into Synchronous (video calls, real-time

messaging, live chat), Asynchronous (emails, discussion forums, recorded videos), and Non-verbal (emojis, reaction GIFs, screen sharing) communication categories. These categories highlight the variety of tools and approaches used to maintain connectivity and engagement between mentors and mentees in the absence of physical interactions.

Challenges and Solutions theme uncovered the obstacles encountered in digital mentorship and the strategies to overcome them. It includes Technical Issues (connectivity problems, software glitches, hardware limitations), Cultural Differences (language barriers, social etiquette, norms and values), Time Zone Management (scheduling, overlaps, flexibility), and Privacy Concerns (data security, anonymity, consent). This theme illustrates the multifaceted challenges that digital platforms pose and the solutions that participants have identified to navigate these issues successfully.

Professional Development theme showcased the benefits of digital mentorship in advancing career and professional skills. Categories within this theme are Skill Acquisition (technical skills, industry knowledge, certifications), Networking (professional contacts, industry events, social media), and Feedback Mechanisms (constructive criticism, performance reviews, peer feedback). These categories underscore the capacity of digital mentorship to facilitate professional growth through diverse avenues.

Personal Development theme focused on the impact of digital mentorship on mentees' personal growth and well-being. The categories identified were Confidence Building (self-esteem, self-efficacy, motivation), Work-Life Balance (setting boundaries, time management, stress reduction), and Personal Goals (achieving milestones, personal branding, goal setting). This theme highlights the transformative power of mentorship on individuals' personal lives, beyond professional advancements.

Technology and Tools theme addressed the instrumental role of digital tools in facilitating mentorship activities, divided into Collaboration Platforms (Slack, Microsoft Teams, Google Workspace), Communication Tools (Zoom, Skype, WhatsApp), and Project Management Tools (Trello, Asana, Monday.com). This theme reflects on the critical support these tools provide in sustaining the mentor-mentee relationship in a digital context.

The findings of this study align with and extend the current literature on digital mentorship across various disciplines, illustrating the multifaceted nature of mentor-mentee relationships in virtual spaces. Semingson's (2023) exploration of trends in digital mentoring for language teachers categorizes the practices into asynchronous, synchronous, and social media-based, reflecting the diversity in approaches to digital mentorship observed in our study. This categorization is crucial for understanding the broad spectrum of digital mentorship practices and their implications for effective communication and learning (Semingson, 2023).

Lall et al. (2022) delve into the effects of online mentoring interventions on entrepreneurial support, drawing upon Social Cognitive Theory and existing literature on entrepreneurial mentorship. Their findings underscore the significance of tailored mentorship strategies in enhancing mentor-mentee connections in digital settings, a theme that resonates with the importance of effective mentorship strategies highlighted in our study (Lall et al., 2022).

In addressing sensitive health topics, Kaufman et al. (2021) point to the potential of digital mentoring models to foster non-judgmental communication between mentors and mentees. This aligns with our findings on the use of digital platforms to navigate discussions on stigmatized behaviors, emphasizing the value of digital mentorship in creating supportive environments for sensitive topics (Kaufman et al., 2021).

The concept of reverse mentoring explored by Kaše et al. (2018) highlights the bidirectional flow of knowledge, particularly in technology literacy between generations. This one-way knowledge transfer is mirrored in our study's emphasis on digital expertise exchange, further substantiating the value of integrating younger mentors to promote technological literacy (Kaše et al., 2018).

Radlick et al. (2020) and McCosker (2018) both stress the importance of personal connections and peer support in digital mentorship, particularly in multicultural and mental health contexts. Our findings align with these studies, suggesting that despite the virtual setting, the essence of mentorship—building strong, personal connections—remains paramount (McCosker, 2018; Radlick et al., 2020).

In addition, the literature underscores the role of digital mentorship in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion, as seen in studies by Cusson et al. (2022) and Harris (2022). These studies complement our findings on the potential of digital mentorship to mitigate gender bias and support underrepresented groups, reinforcing the necessity for inclusive mentoring practices (Cusson et al., 2022; Harris, 2022).

In conclusion, this study contributes to the burgeoning discourse on digital mentorship, offering valuable insights into the transformative potential and challenges of mentor-mentee relationships in virtual settings. It underscores the complexity of these relationships, the variety of communication methods available, and the critical role of personal connection, even in digital formats. The findings highlight the importance of intentional, strategic approaches to digital mentorship to maximize its benefits for both mentors and mentees across various fields.

5.   Limitations and Suggestions

The study, while comprehensive, is not without limitations. The reliance on semi-structured interviews, though rich in qualitative data, limits the generalizability of the findings. The participant sample, though diverse, may not fully capture the wide range of digital mentorship experiences across different cultures and sectors. Additionally, the rapid evolution of digital communication tools and platforms means that the findings must be contextualized within the current technological landscape, which is subject to change.

Future research should aim to broaden the scope of digital mentorship studies, incorporating quantitative methods to complement qualitative insights and enhance the generalizability of the findings. Exploring the long-term impacts of digital mentorship on career trajectories and personal development could provide deeper understanding of its efficacy. Moreover, examining the role of cultural differences in shaping digital mentorship practices would enrich the current understanding of global mentorship dynamics.

Practitioners should consider the diversity of digital mentorship practices and the importance of tailored strategies to foster effective mentor-mentee relationships. Emphasizing the development of digital literacy skills among mentors and mentees can enhance the quality of interactions. Additionally, creating guidelines and protocols for addressing sensitive topics in digital mentorship can support a safe, supportive mentoring environment. Ultimately, recognizing and harnessing the unique opportunities presented by digital platforms can significantly contribute to the advancement of mentorship in the digital age, promoting inclusivity, accessibility, and meaningful professional and personal growth.

Authors' Contributions

All authors have contributed significantly to the research process and the development of the manuscript.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were observed.

References

Agarwal, A., Leisegang, K., Selvam, M. K. P., Durairajanayagam, D., Barbăroşie, C., Finelli, R., Sengupta, P., Dutta, S., Majzoub, A., Pushparaj, P. N., Elbardisi, H., Sharma, R., Gupta, S., Arafa, M., Roychoudhury, S., Alves, M. G., Oliveira, P. F., & Henkel, R. (2021). An Online Educational Model in Andrology for Student Training in the Art of Scientific Writing in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Andrologia*. https://doi.org/10.1111/and.13961

Almond, L., Parson, L., & Resor, J. (2020). Lessons From the Field: Graduate Student–Faculty Mentoring in Family Science. *Family Relations*. https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12517

Baroudi, S., & Shaya, N. (2022). Exploring Predictors of Teachers' Self-Efficacy for Online Teaching in the Arab World Amid COVID-19. *Education and Information Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10946-4

Brody, A. A., Guan, C., Cortes, T., & Galvin, J. E. (2016). Development and Testing of the Dementia Symptom Management at Home (DSM-H) Program: An Interprofessional Home Health Care Intervention to Improve the Quality of Life for Persons With Dementia and Their Caregivers. *Geriatric Nursing*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2016.01.002

Cusson, T., Lebel, K., Spalluto, L. B., Barber, P., Darras, K. E., & Yong-Hing, C. J. (2022). Recommendations for Improving Canadian Radiology Equity, Diversity and Inclusion. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1177/08465371221099956

Harris, D. A. (2022). Women, Work, and Opportunities: From Neoliberal to Feminist Mentoring. *Sociology Compass*. https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12966

Kaše, R., Saksida, T., & Mihelič, K. K. (2018). Skill Development in Reverse Mentoring: Motivational Processes of Mentors and Learners. *Human Resource Management*. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21932

Kaufman, M. R., Levine, D., Casella, A., & DuBois, D. L. (2021). E-Mentoring to Address Youth Health: A Systematic Review. *Adolescent Research Review*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40894-021-00172-3

Kraiger, K., Fisher, S. L., Grossman, R., Mills, M. J., & Sitzmann, T. (2022). Online I-O Graduate Education: Where Are We and Where Should We Go? *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2021.144

Lall, S., Chen, L., & Mason, D. P. (2022). Digital Platforms and Entrepreneurial Support: A Field Experiment in Online Mentoring. *Small Business Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-022-00704-8

Law, A. V., Bottenberg, M. M., Brozick, A. H., Currie, J. D., DiVall, M. V., Haines, S. T., Jolowsky, C. M., Koh-Knox, C. P., Leonard, G. A., Phelps, S. J., Rao, D., Webster, A., & Yablonski, E. (2014). A Checklist for the Development of Faculty Mentorship Programs. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*. https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe78598

McCosker, A. (2018). Engaging Mental Health Online: Insights From<i>beyondblue</I>'s Forum Influencers. *New Media & Society*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818784303

Mullen, C. A. (2020). Online Doctoral Mentoring in a Pandemic: Help or Hindrance to Academic Progress on Dissertations? *International Journal of Mentoring and Coaching in Education*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijmce-06-2020-0029

Patel, H., & Volgman, A. S. (2021). Women in Cardiology: Role of Social Media in Advocacy. *Current Cardiology Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.2174/1573403x16666200203104851

Radlick, R. L., Mirkovic, J., Przedpelska, S., Brendmo, E. H., & Gammon, D. (2020). Experiences and Needs of Multicultural Youth and Their Mentors, and Implications for Digital Mentoring Platforms: Qualitative Exploratory Study. *Jmir Formative Research*. https://doi.org/10.2196/15500

Ranzenhofer, L. M., Wilhelmy, M., Hochschild, A., Sanzone, K., Walsh, B. T., & Attia, E. (2020). Peer Mentorship as an Adjunct Intervention for the Treatment of Eating Disorders: A Pilot Randomized Trial. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23258

Semingson, P. (2023). Trends in Digital Mentoring for Language Teachers: Promising Practices, Caveats, and Future Directions. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*. https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20557

Simon, S. L., Clay, D. L., Chandrasekhar, J. L., & Duncan, C. L. (2021). Gender Bias in Pediatric Psychology. *Clinical Practice in Pediatric Psychology*. https://doi.org/10.1037/cpp0000378

Yong, T. M., Austin, D. C., Molloy, I. B., Torchia, M. T., & Coe, M. P. (2021). Online Information and Mentorship: Perspectives From Orthopaedic Surgery Residency Applicants. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaos-d-20-00512